

SELECTIVE HYDROGENATION OF CARBON MONOXIDE ON SUPPORTED  
Rh CATALYSTS PREREDUCED IN SITU WITH H<sub>2</sub>O AND CO OR H<sub>2</sub>

Yasuhiro IWASAWA,\* Toshiaki HAYASAKA, and Sadao OGASAWARA  
Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,  
Yokohama National University, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240

Carbon monoxide was selectively converted to ethylene and propylene (>80 % of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> hydrocarbons produced) at 433-447 K over SiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Rh catalysts prerduced in situ with a CO-H<sub>2</sub>O mixture, while another Rh catalyst obtained by the pretreatment in situ with a H<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O mixture yielded ethanol and acetaldehyde at a higher selectivity by a factor of about 100 at 453 K than a usual Rh(0) catalyst reduced with H<sub>2</sub> alone.

The importance of controlling the selectivity in carbon monoxide hydrogenation<sup>1)</sup> prompted us to describe our results in this field. Rh<sup>III</sup> ions are known to be reduced to Rh<sup>I</sup> level with CO in aqueous solution<sup>2)</sup> and Rh<sub>4</sub> or Rh<sub>6</sub> carbonyl clusters are conveniently synthesized from a Rh<sup>I</sup> carbonyl compound under CO atmosphere in the presence of water.<sup>3)</sup> The behaviours of Rh<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub> on alumina<sup>4)</sup> and zeolite<sup>5)</sup>, such as the reversible decarbonylation-recarbonylation and the fragmentation-clusterization (Rh<sub>6</sub><sup>0</sup> ⇌ 6 Rh<sup>I</sup>), have been demonstrated to depend upon the water content of the supports. These results seemed to us to suggest a new way of preparing supported Rh catalysts for the selective CO hydrogenation by reducing supported Rh<sup>III</sup> ions in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O vapour. We wish to report the selective formations of ethylene and propylene, and ethanol and acetaldehyde from a CO/H<sub>2</sub> gas over the SiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Rh catalysts pretreated in situ with H<sub>2</sub>O and CO or H<sub>2</sub>.

Rh<sup>III</sup> ions were supported on a silica using a methanol solution of RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (Soekawa Chemicals) without contacting air and moisture in a flow of high purity (99.9995 %) Ar followed by evacuation for 30 min at 293 K and for 1 h at 323 K; the SiO<sub>2</sub> obtained from Snowtex-O (Nissan Kagaku Inc.) was washed with a distilled water, dried at 393 K and heated at 473 K in air. Two types of Rh catalysts were

obtained by prereducing the supported  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}$  chloride in situ with a  $\text{CO}$  (200 Torr) -  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (20 Torr) mixture or with a  $\text{H}_2$  (200 Torr) -  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (20 Torr) mixture at 363 K for 15 h followed by evacuation for 1 h in a closed circulating system before catalytic reactions.

The supported  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}$  ions which exhibited a d-d transition band at 530 nm ( ${}^5\text{T}_{2g} \rightarrow {}^5\text{E}_g$ ) indicating an octahedral coordination, were reduced with  $\text{H}_2$ , stepwisely  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}} \xrightarrow{328 \text{ K}} \text{Rh}^{\text{II}} \xrightarrow{423 \text{ K}} \text{Rh}^{\text{I}} \xrightarrow{773 \text{ K}} \text{Rh}^0$ . The Fischer-Tropsch reactions over these catalysts involving  $\text{Rh}^0$ ,  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}$  or  $\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}$  were carried out in a closed circulating system (200  $\text{cm}^3$ ) with a liq.  $\text{N}_2$  trap. The main product was always methane in the temperature range 413-573 K although the formations of  $\text{C}_2$ - $\text{C}_3$  hydrocarbons were favoured by the  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}$  catalyst.

The UV absorption band of 530 nm almost disappeared by the  $\text{CO-H}_2\text{O}$  treatment, associated with a colour change from pale brick red to yellow, indicating the formation of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{I})$  halodicarbonyl species on the  $\text{SiO}_2$  surface. Two molecules of  $\text{HCl}$  evolved per a  $\text{Rh}$  atom also suggested univalent rhodium. Again the  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}$  species formed was characterized by the twin carbonyl peaks<sup>5)6)</sup> at 2100 and 2025  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . These twin carbonyls were rapidly eliminated to evolve mainly carbon monoxide at 433 K, no carbonyl peaks being observed during catalytic  $\text{CO}$  hydrogenation. The catalytic activities gradually decreased during the first  $\sim 15$  h of reactions and then attained constant activities; the data were taken there. The F-T syntheses over the  $\text{Rh}$  catalysts prereduced in situ with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}$  are listed in Table 1. The formation of methane was remarkably suppressed, resulting in the high selectivities (85 %) toward  $\text{C}_2$ - $\text{C}_3$  hydrocarbon formations at 433-447 K, where the  $\text{C}_2$ - $\text{C}_3$  selectivity (%) is defined as  $100[\text{C}_2\text{-C}_3]/([\text{C}_1\text{-C}_5^+] + [\text{oxygenated compounds}] + [\text{CO}_2])$  on carbon base;  $\text{C}_5^+$  includes n-pentane, 1- and 2-pentene, n-hexane and hexene isomers (not branched). The olefin fraction in the  $\text{C}_2$ - $\text{C}_3$  components was 89.1 % (ethylene/propylene=16.5%/72.6%). When the catalyst was exposed to air at room temperature followed by reduction with  $\text{H}_2$  at 473 K, the catalytic activity decreased but the ethylene/propylene ratio increased to 37.9%/41.0%. The structure, environment and chemical nature of active sites of the selective  $\text{Rh}$  catalyst showing a constant activity are not clear at this stage, but the catalyst precursor for the selective  $\text{C}_2$ - $\text{C}_3$  formations may be a small surface  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}$  cluster,  $(\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2)_n$ .

On the other hand the conventional catalyst made by an impregnation method using aqueous  $\text{RhCl}_3$  solution in air did not exhibit such a selectivity by similar  $\text{CO-H}_2\text{O}$  pretreatments as given in Table 1 although the effect of the pretreatment

Table 1 Selectivities of the "In Situ-Pre-reduced" Rh Catalysts and the Impregnation Catalyst in Carbon Monoxide Hydrogenation (catalysts=1 g, CO/H<sub>2</sub>= 1/4 (total P.=250 Torr, 433 & 453 K) or 1/2 (total P.=300 Torr, 493 & 523 K)

catalysts	pretreatments	Rh O.N.	Rh wt%	T/K	conv. %	selec. % H.C. Ox.C	olefin <sup>d</sup> fraction	H.C. distributions/%					Ox.C. distributions/%						
								C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> =	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> =	C <sub>5</sub>	E	A	EA		
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	0	5	523	64.7	99.5	0.4	25.4	86.2	4.6	0.0	3.9	0.6	1.4	2.0	1.3	36.1	39.4	9.6
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	1	1	433	2.7	89.1	6.8	74.8	63.6	5.3	7.0	1.9	9.7	1.5	7.8	3.2	20.3	63.4	1.5
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	CO	1	4	453	0.5	96.8	0.0	86.3	45.8	2.9	13.1	3.2	25.8	1.3	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>f</sup>	CO-H <sub>2</sub> O	-	1	433	3.5*	93.9	4.3	81.4	37.7	8.2	28.6	2.2	8.4	1.0	12.1	1.8	2.2	86.3	11.5
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	CO-H <sub>2</sub> O	1	5	433	2.5*	93.5	4.0	87.8	5.3	4.2	15.0	5.7	65.9	0.8	1.2	1.9	3.9	78.1	18.0
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	CO-H <sub>2</sub> O	1	5	447	3.0*	94.3	3.0	88.0	5.6	6.4	14.3	3.5	65.9	1.3	2.6	0.4	5.9	79.1	13.8
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	CO-H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>g</sup>	1	5	433	0.75*	90.6	2.9	78.5	6.8	8.9	34.2	10.2	37.0	0.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	84.3	15.7
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	1.6	4	453	12.0*	68.0	31.5	72.5	79.6	4.0	6.0	1.1	4.5	0.4	2.1	2.5	41.7	45.8	3.6
Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	1.6	4	493	13.3	89.4	10.2	78.7	71.4	3.7	4.3	1.3	10.7	0.4	6.1	2.1	69.0	19.9	4.2
Rh <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>h</sup>	none	1	5	433	9.2	94.9	4.6	75.9	48.9	6.6	9.4	3.8	17.3	1.1	7.3	5.6	2.0	88.4	0.0
Rh <sub>6</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>i</sup>	none	0	3	453	1.3	93.6	4.2	89.4	83.9	0.9	2.8	0.6	7.3	0.2	4.1	0.1	8.4	87.0	0.0

a oxidation numbers of Rh ions in catalyst precursors. b carbon base conversions(%) per 1 h(5 h for \*) per 1 g of catalysts =  $100([C_1-C_5]^+ + [oxygenated\ compounds] + [CO_2]) / [CO]_0$ . c selectivities(%) to hydrocarbons =  $100[C_1-C_5]^+ / ([C_1-C_5]^+ + [oxygenated\ compounds] + [CO_2])$ . selectivities(%) to oxygenated compounds such as ethanol, acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, methanol, etc., =  $100[oxygenated\ compounds] / ([C_1-C_5]^+ + [oxygenated\ compounds] + [CO_2])$ . d olefin fractions(%) in the C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> components. e E, ethanol; A, acetaldehyde; EA, ethyl acetate. Acetic acid was not produced. f conventional impregnation catalysts. g sample, exposed to air followed by reduction with H<sub>2</sub>. h supported Rh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>. i supported Rh<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub>. j n-pentane, 1- and 2-pentene, n-hexane and hexene isomers(not branched).

on the product distribution was observed; the  $C_2=C_3$  components were 37 % of whole hydrocarbons produced. The supported  $Rh^{III}$  ions were reduced to  $Rh^I$  dicarbonyl species by treating with CO alone coinciding with the literatures<sup>6)</sup>, but this surface species was catalytically unselective. Also  $Rh_2Cl_2(CO)_4$  and  $Rh_6(CO)_{16}$  supported on  $SiO_2$  yielded ethylene and propylene as minor products.

The supported Rh(III) chloride was converted to another selective catalyst by prereduction in situ with a mixture of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$ . It was found in Table 1 that the Rh catalyst yielded oxygenated compounds at the selectivity of 31.5 % at 453 K under a reduced pressure. The  $C_2$  fraction like ethanol and acetaldehyde was 87.5 % ( $100([C_2H_5OH]+[CH_3CHO])/([C_2H_5OH]+[CH_3CHO]+[CH_3COOC_2H_5]+[CH_3OH])$ ). A usual  $SiO_2$ -supported  $Rh^0$  catalyst which was prepared by reducing the supported  $Rh^{III}$  ions with  $H_2$  alone gave no significant amounts of  $C_2$ -oxygenated compounds (0.3 % in selectivity) under similar reaction conditions. Thus the supported Rh catalyst obtained by the novel preparation method produced ethanol and acetaldehyde at a higher selectivity by a factor of about 100 than the usual  $Rh^0$  catalyst.

#### References

- 1) E.L.Kugler, Prep.Sym.Div.Petr.Chem.ACS., 25(3), 564(1980). D.Commereuc, Y.Chauvin, F.Hughues, J.M.Basset and D.Olivier, J.C.S.Chem.Comm., 154(1980). I.Kojima, E.Miyazaki and I.Yasumori, *ibid.*, 573(1980). D.Fraenkel and B.C.Gates, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 102, 2478(1980). M.Ichikawa and K.Shikakura, Proc.7th Int.Congr.Catal.Tokyo (1980), Part B, p.925(1981). R.L.Pruett and W.E.Walker, US.3,833,634(1972).
- 2) R.Eisenberg and D.E.Hendriksen, Advan.Catal., 28, 79(1979), Academic Press. B.R.James, G.L.Rempel and F.T.T.Ng, J.Chem.Soc.(A), 2454(1969). D.Forster, Inorg.Chem., 8, 2556(1969).
- 3) P.Chini and S.Martinengo, Inorg.Chim.Acta, 3, 315(1969).
- 4) A.K.Smith, F.Hugues, A.Theolier, J.M.Basset, R.Ugo, G.M.Zanderighi, J.L.Bilhou, V.Bilhou-Bougnol and W.F.Graydon, Inorg.Chem., 18, 3104(1979). K.L.Watters, R.F.Howe, T.P.Chojnacki, C-M.Fu, R.L.Schneider and N-B.Wong, J.Catal., 66, 424(1980).
- 5) P.Gelin, Y.B.Taarit and C.Naccache, *ibid.*, 59, 357(1979).
- 6) H.Arai, M.Uchida and H.Tominaga, Shokubai, 20, 242(1978). M.Primet, J.C.S. Faraday I, 74, 2570(1978). R.M.Kroeker, W.C.Kaska and P.K.Hansma, J.Catal., 57, 72(1979). K.Fujimoto, M.Kameyama and T.Kunugi, J.Jpn.Petrol.Inst., 21, 405(1978).
- 7) M.S.Surrell, J.Mol.Catal., 10, 57(1981). J.A.McCleverty and G.Wilkinson, Inorg.Synth., 8, 211(1966).

(Received October 30, 1981)